



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,615	12/28/2000	Lawrence A. Denenberg	782.1092/RAG	5948
21171	7590 03/11/2004		EXAM	INER
	HALSEY LLP		LAO, 1	TIM P
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	YORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	TON, DC 20005		2655	5
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/749,615 Examiner Tim Lao 2655 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed 1 this period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. 1 this period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory evided with apply and this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for regly will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (39 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2000. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-12.14-16.18-29.31.32 and 34-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1, 37, 30 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1, 31, 7, 30 and 33 is/are objected to. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.51(d).
Examiner Tim Lao 2655 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed shere SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Failure to mply whithin the extent restanded period for reply with the set or actinaded period for reply with. It is the expectation to engine advantage of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2000. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12.14-16.18-29.31.32 and 34-48 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replace
Tim Lao 2655 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13). Any reply received by the fillow before the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 57 CFR 1.794(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 28 December 2000. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers Philosophysis and provided the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 28 December 2000. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-12,14-16,18-29,31,32 and 34-48 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 13, 17, 30 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 13, 17, 30 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 13, 17, 30 and 33 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply septified above is tess than thing (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above is tess than thing (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply selected above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to epily within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 to 3.6. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any semidor plant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2000. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-12.14-16.18-29.31.32 and 34-48 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 13.17, 30 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAIL ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed efter SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statute, cause the application to become ABONDED (35 U.S. C, § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2000. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12,14-16,18-29,31,32 and 34-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13, 17, 30 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 13, 17, 30 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 6; filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2000. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12,14-16,18-29,31,32 and 34-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13,17,30 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12,14-16,18-29,31,32 and 34-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13, 17, 30 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12,14-16,18-29,31,32 and 34-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13,17,30 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12,14-16,18-29,31,32 and 34-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13, 17, 30 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-12,14-16,18-29,31,32 and 34-48 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 13,17,30 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-12,14-16,18-29,31,32 and 34-48 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 13, 17, 30 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12,14-16,18-29,31,32 and 34-48 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 13, 17, 30 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
_
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:

以

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12, 14-16, 18-19, 23-29, 31-32, 34-35, 39-44, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Appelt et al. (U.S. Patent 6,601,026 B2) in view of Weber (U.S. Patent 6,434,524 B1).

Claim(s)

Appelt et al. show:

1

A method of providing an interactive voice response system (the natural language query system, Fig.1: **100**, col.5, II.5-6), comprising:

recognizing a spoken question (user queries, col.5, II.5-6) using a grammar (grammar, col.2, II.30-33; col.3, II.7-12 & query grammar, col.3, II.14-17) that is updated (update grammar rules, Fig.2: **212**; col.7, II.33-52 & update query grammar rules, Fig.9: **518**, col.12, II.23-47) from sources of information (the corpus **103** of free-text documents, col.5, II.5-14) external to the interactive voice response system.

- {1. The free-text document 103 can be located on a remote web server external to the system 100. (col.5, II.9-14, II.21-23; col.6, II.27-31; col.1, II.28-31)
- 2. Fig.2 illustrates the process where grammar rules are updated based on information extracted from the free-text document **103**. (col.7, II.33-52)
- 3. Fig.9 illustrates the process where query grammar rules for recognizing user queries are updated. (col.12, Il.23-47)
- 4. Query grammar files and the grammar files **310**, which contain extracted information from texts, are analogous. (col.11, II.8-11; col.10, II.6-10)}

Art Unit: 2655

Appelt et al. do not show:

automatically updating the grammar.

However, Weber teaches:

automatically updating a grammar. (col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the recognition and updating method of Appelt et al. to include the method of automatic updating of grammar as taught by Weber in order to automatically update grammar for recognizing spoken question from user. This way, the interactive voice response system can adaptively learn the meaning of addition utterances, thereby enhancing the efficiency of the user interface (Weber, col.4, II.3-8).

Claim(s)

Appelt et al. show:

2

A method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

automatically obtaining at least one set of topics (topic extraction, e.g., 'joint venture', col.5, II.37-45) for questions spoken by a user (user queries) from the sources of information (free-text document **103**).

{The topic and keywords are automatically extracted by the automation **300**. (col.7, ll.53-57; col.8,ll.1-20; col.10, ll.6-16)}

Claim(s)

Appelt et al. show:

3

A method as recited in claim 2, wherein said obtaining uses at least one communication connection (the network **530**, col.12, II.48-57; col.3, II.25-28) between the interactive voice response system (the natural language query system **100**) and at least one news report provider (news service provider, col.3, II.5-6; col.5, II.9-12) to obtain news reports (news articles of Fig.7: **502** & Fig.8).

{Exemplary news service providers are New York Times (Fig.7: **506**) and PR Newswire (Fig.8).}

Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
4	
	A method as recited in claim 3, wherein said obtaining includes repeatedly
	(continuously) accessing the at least one news report provider (news service provider, col.4,
	II.14-16; col.5, II.9-12) via a computer network (the network 530 , col.12, II.48-57).
	{The real-time news services is continuously accessed for the information database, e.g., the
	free-text documents 103, to be continuously updated.}
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
	A method as recited in claim 4, further comprising:
	extracting keywords (e.g., topic: 'joint venture', objects/keywords: 'IBM', 'Microsoft',
	col.5, II.44-46, II.60-62; or topic: 'joint venture', object/keyword: 'SBC', col.6, II.48-51) from the
	news reports (news articles of Fig.7: 502 & Fig.8); (col.5, II.37-67) and
	updating the grammar using the keywords. (col.7: II.33-52)
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
v	A method as recited in claim 5, further comprising:
	storing at least part (e.g., index with list of terms or words, col.1, II.52-64; col.2, II.30-
	34, II.60-67) of the news reports (Fig.7: 502 ; Fig.8); and
	{The indices are stored in database 109. (col.5, II.34-37)}
	outputting at least one of the news reports (Fig.7: 502 ; Fig.8) when the spoken
	question (e.g., "Tell me about joint ventures involving SBC in the Communication Services
	Sector", col.11, Il.53-55) contains at least one of the keywords (e.g., 'joint ventures' and 'SBC'
	in the summary 504 , col.11, II.58-65) extracted therefrom.
	{The keywords are extracted by information extraction engine 108. (col.5, II.21-24, II.38-41)}
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
7	

1

Application/Control Number: 09/749,615

	A method as recited in claim 6, further comprising:
	identifying (e.g., highlighting) the keywords (e.g., 'joint ventures', 'SBC', Fig.8: 508 ; col.12, II.2-5) from information included in the news reports (Fig.7: 502 ; Fig.8).
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
	A method as recited in claim 6, further comprising:
	comparing words in the news reports with a list of potential keywords (e.g., relevant keywords) to identify the keywords for said extracting. (e.g., pre-filtering, Fig.1: 106 ; col.6, II.25-29)
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
9	A method as recited in claim 6,
	wherein said storing stores at least one file (text corpus 103 containing text from multimedia source 550 : col.5, II.14-24; Fig.11; col.14, II.9-14) containing an audio signal (sound stream) related to at least one of the news reports (Fig.7: 502 ; Fig.8); (col.3, II.37-45; col.4, II.34-40) and
	wherein said outputting plays back (through the TTS system, Fig.10: 537 ; col.13, II.39-43; col.4, II.30-32) the at least one audio file.
Claim(s) 10	Appelt et al. show:
	A method as recited in claim 6, wherein said extracting is performed on text data associated with the audio signal (e.g., sound stream of multimedia source 550 , col.5, II.14-24).
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
11	

	wherein said storing stores at least one text file (text format documents, col.5, II.14-
	15) related to at least one of the news reports (Fig.7: 502; Fig.8); and
	wherein said outputting includes text-to-speech (TTS 537 , col.13, II.33-43) conversion of the at least one text file.
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
	A method as recited in claim 3, further comprising:
	determining the at least one news report provider (e.g., New York Times (Fig.7: 506) or PR Newswire (Fig.8)) based on selection by the user.
	{In the case of an article by more than one news report provider, the user can select the article by a particular news report provider by clicking on a hyperlink. (col.11, II.66-67)}
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
	A method as recited in claim 12, wherein said determining is performed via a computer network connection (the internet 530 , col.12, II.48-53) between the interactive voice response system (the natural language query system 100) and a computer (workstations 532) operated by the user.
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
15	A method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:
	automatically obtaining grammar words (topic-specific information, e.g., 'joint venture', 'SBC', col.7, II.49-50) to be added to the grammar (e.g., grammar template files 310 which are located in database 109: col.7, II.46-48; col.10, II.6-11) from at least one message (Fig.7: 502; Fig.8) for a user. {1. The topic and keywords are automatically extracted by the automation 300. (col.7, II.53-57; col.8,II.1-20; col.10, II.6-16) 2. The news article (Fig.7: 502; Fig.8) is a message which can be represented in text format (col.5, II.14-15) or in sound stream (col.5, II.15-17; col.14, II.9-12)}

Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
16	
	A method as recited in claim 15, further comprising:
	comparing message words (topic & keywords) in the at least one message (Fig.7: 502; Fig.8) with information in a global information database (e.g., searching the database 109 by information extraction and query engine 104) to determine for each message word
	(e.g., 'joint venture', 'SBC') whether there are any corresponding questions (e.g., "Tell me
	about joint ventures involving SBC in the Communication Service Sector" or "Did Barnes & Nobel acquire anyone this year?", col.6, II.48-51) that can be answered by information in the global information database (database 109); (col.6, II.43-55) and
	updating the grammar (grammar rules or files) with the corresponding questions and related message words. (col.7, II.33-52)
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
	A method as recited in claim 15, further comprising:
	adding words (topic-specific information, e.g., 'joint venture', 'SBC', col.7, II.49-50) to the grammar (e.g., grammar template files 310 which are located in database 109 : col.7, II.46-
	48; col.10, II.6-11) based on a source of the message (multimedia source 550).
	Appelt et al. do not show:
,	automatically adding words to a grammar.
	Weber teaches:
	automatically adding words (e.g., new information) to a grammar (grammar files). (col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67)
	It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Appelt et al. to include the method of automatic updating of grammar as taught by Weber in order to automatically update grammar for

	recognizing spoken question from user. This way, the interactive voice response system can
	adaptively learn the meaning of addition utterances, thereby enhancing the efficiency of the
	user interface (Weber, col.4, II.3-8).
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
19	
	A method as recited in claim 18, wherein the message (Fig.8) is a voicemail message
	(e.g., sound stream, col.14, II.9-12; col.5, II.14-20) and the source of the message is
	determined based on automatic number identification (message-ID: Fig.8: 506 , II.3 of 1 st
	block) provided when the voicemail message was received.
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
23	
	A computer readable medium (CD-ROM, col.15, II.34-43) storing at least one
	program for controlling an interactive voice response system to perform a method comprising:
	recognizing a spoken question (user queries, col.5, II.5-6) using a grammar
	(grammar, col.2, II.30-33; col.3, II.7-12 & query grammar, col.3, II.14-17) that is updated
	(update grammar rules, Fig.2: 212; col.7, II.33-52 & update query grammar rules, Fig.9: 518,
	col.12, II.23-47) from sources of information (the corpus 103 of free-text documents, col.5, II.5-
	14) external to the interactive voice response system.
	{1. The free-text document 103 can be located on a remote web server external to the system 100 . (col.5, II.9-14, II.21-23; col.6, II.27-31; col.1, II.28-31)
	2. Fig.2 illustrates the process where grammar rules are updated based on information extracted from the free-text document 103 . (col.7, Il.33-52)
	3. Fig.9 illustrates the process where query grammar rules for recognizing user queries are
	updated. (col.12, II.23-47)
	4. Query grammar files and the grammar files 310 , which contain extracted information from
	texts, are analogous. (col.11, II.8-11; col.10, II.6-10)}
	Appelt et al. do not show:
	automatically updating the grammar.
	However, Weber teaches:

	automatically updating a grammar. (col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67) It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the recognition and updating method of Appelt et al. to include the method of automatically updating a grammar as taught by Weber in order to automatically update grammar for recognizing spoken question from user. This way, the interactive voice response system can adaptively learn the meaning of addition utterances, thereby enhancing the efficiency of the user interface (Weber, col.4, II.3-8).
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
24	A computer readable medium as recited in claim 23, wherein said method further comprises:
	automatically obtaining at least one set of topics (topic extraction, e.g., 'joint venture', col.5, II.37-45) for questions spoken by a user (user queries) from the sources of information (free-text document 103).
	{The topic and keywords are automatically extracted by the automation 300. (col.7, II.53-57; col.8,II.1-20; col.10, II.6-16)}
Claim(s) 25	Appelt et al. show:
	A computer readable medium as recited in claim 24, wherein said obtaining uses at least one communication connection (the network 530 , col.12, II.48-57; col.3, II.25-28) between the interactive voice response system (the natural language query system 100) and at least one news report provider (news service provider, col.3, II.5-6; col.5, II.9-12) to obtain news reports (news articles of Fig.7: 502 & Fig.8). {Exemplary news service providers are New York Times (Fig.7: 506) and PR Newswire
	(Fig. 8).}
Claim(s) 26	Appelt et al. show:
	A computer readable medium as recited in claim 25, wherein said obtaining includes repeatedly (continuously) accessing the at least one news report provider (news service provider, col.4, II.14-16; col.5, II.9-12) via a computer network (the network 530 , col.12, II.48-

57).
{The real-time news services is continuously accessed for the information database, e.g., the
free-text documents 103, to be continuously updated.}
Appelt et al. show:
A computer readable medium as recited in claim 26, wherein said method further
comprises:
extracting keywords (e.g., topic: 'joint venture', objects/keywords: 'IBM', 'Microsoft',
col.5, II.44-46, II.60-62; or topic: 'joint venture', object/keyword: 'SBC', col.6, II.48-51) from the
news reports (news articles of Fig.7: 502 & Fig.8); (col.5, II.37-67) and
updating the grammar using the keywords. (col.7: II.33-52)
gramming and gramming and nation, (commence of the
Appelt et al. show:
A computer readable medium as recited in claim 27, wherein said method further
comprises:
storing at least part (e.g., index with list of terms or words, col.1, II.52-64; col.2, II.30-
34, II.60-67) of the news reports (Fig.7: 502 ; Fig.8); and
{The indices are stored in database 109. (col.5, II.34-37)}
outputting at least one of the news reports (Fig.7: 502 ; Fig.8) when the spoken
question (e.g., "Tell me about joint ventures involving SBC in the Communication Services
Sector", col.11, Il.53-55) contains at least one of the keywords (e.g., 'joint ventures' and 'SBC'
in the summary 504 , col.11, II.58-65) extracted therefrom.
{The keywords are extracted by information extraction engine 108. (col.5, II.21-24, II.38-41)}
Appelt et al. show:
A computer readable medium as recited in claim 25, wherein said method further
comprises:

Art Unit: 2655

determining the at least one news report provider (e.g., New York Times (Fig.7: 506) or PR Newswire (Fig.8)) based on selection by the user. (In the case of an article by more than one news report provider, the user can select the article by a particular news report provider by clicking on a hyperlink. (col.11, II.66-67)} Claim(s) Appelt et al. show: 31 A computer readable medium as recited in claim 23, wherein said method further comprises: automatically obtaining grammar words (topic-specific information, e.g., 'joint venture', 'SBC', col.7, II.49-50) to be added to the grammar (e.g., grammar template files 310 which are located in database 109: col.7, II.46-48; col.10, II.6-11) from at least one message (Fig.7: 502; Fig.8) for a user. {1. The topic and keywords are automatically extracted by the automation 300. (col.7, II.53-*57; col.8,II.1-20; col.10, II.6-16)* 2. The news article (Fig.7: 502; Fig.8) is a message which can be represented in text format (col.5, II.14-15) or in sound stream (col.5, II.15-17; col.14, II.9-12)} Claim(s) Appelt et al. show: 32 A computer readable medium as recited in claim 31, wherein said method further comprises: comparing message words (topic & keywords) in the at least one message (Fig.7: 502; Fig.8) with information in a global information database (e.g., searching the database 109 by information extraction and query engine 104) to determine for each message word (e.g., 'joint venture', 'SBC') whether there are any corresponding questions (e.g., "Tell me about joint ventures involving SBC in the Communication Service Sector" or "Did Barnes & Nobel acquire anyone this year?", col.6, II.48-51) that can be answered by information in the global information database (database 109); (col.6, II.43-55) and updating the grammar (grammar rules or files) with the corresponding questions and related message words. (col.7, II.33-52)

Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
34	
	A computer readable medium as recited in claim 31, wherein said method further
	comprises:
	adding words (topic-specific information, e.g., 'joint venture', 'SBC', col.7, II.49-50) to
	the grammar (e.g., grammar template files 310 which are located in database 109: col.7, II.46-
	48; col.10, II.6-11) based on a source of the message (multimedia source 550).
	Appelt et al. do not show:
	automatically adding words to a grammar.
	Weber teaches:
#	automatically adding words (e.g., new information) to a grammar (grammar files).
	(col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67)

	It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the
	invention was made to modify the method of Appelt et al. to include the method of automatic
	updating of grammar as taught by Weber in order to automatically update grammar for
	recognizing spoken question from user. This way, the interactive voice response system can
	adaptively learn the meaning of addition utterances, thereby enhancing the efficiency of the
	user interface (Weber, col.4, II.3-8).
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
35	
	A computer readable medium as recited in claim 34, wherein the message (Fig.8) is a
	voicemail message (e.g., sound stream, col.14, II.9-12; col.5, II.14-20) and the source of the
	message is determined based on automatic number identification (message-ID: Fig.8: 506,
	II.3 of 1 st block) provided when the voicemail message was received.
Claim(s)	Annelt et al. show:
39	Appelt et al. show:
33	An interactive voice response system (the natural language query system, Fig.1: 100 ,
	7 in microcure voice response system (the natural language query system, Fig. 1. 100,

Art Unit: 2655

col.5, II.5-6), comprising:

recognition means for recognizing a spoken question (user queries, col.5, II.5-6) using a grammar (grammar, col.2, II.30-33; col.3, II.7-12 & query grammar, col.3, II.14-17); and

update means for updating the grammar (update grammar rules, Fig.2: **212**; col.7, II.33-52 & update query grammar rules, Fig.9: **518**, col.12, II.23-47) from sources of information (the corpus **103** of free-text documents, col.5, II.5-14) external to said interactive voice response system.

Appelt et al. do not show:

means for automatically updating the grammar.

However, Weber teaches:

means for automatically updating a grammar. (col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the recognition and updating means of Appelt et al. to include the means of automatically updating a grammar as taught by Weber in order to automatically update grammar for recognizing spoken question from user. This way, the interactive voice response system can adaptively learn the meaning of addition utterances, thereby enhancing the efficiency of the user interface (Weber, col.4, II.3-8).

Claim(s)

Appelt et al. show:

40

An interactive voice response system as recited in claim 39, further comprising:

means (the network **530**, col.12, II.48-57; col.3, II.25-28) for communicating between the interactive voice response system (the natural language query system **100**) and at least one news report provider (news service provider, col.3, II.5-6; col.5, II.9-12) to obtain news reports (news articles of Fig.7: **502** & Fig.8).

{Exemplary news service providers are New York Times (Fig.7: **506**) and PR Newswire (Fig.8).}

Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
41	An interactive voice response system as recited in claim 40, further comprising:
	extraction means for extracting keywords (e.g., topic: 'joint venture',
	objects/keywords: 'IBM', 'Microsoft', col.5, II.44-46, II.60-62; or topic: 'joint venture',
	object/keyword: 'SBC', col.6, II.48-51) from the news reports (news articles of Fig.7: 502 &
	Fig.8); (col.5, II.37-67) and
	wherein said update means updates the grammar using the keywords. (col.7: II.33-
	52)
	Appelt et al. do not show:
	update means automatically updates the grammar.
	However, Weber teaches:
	update means automatically updates a grammar. (col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67)
	It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the recognition and updating means of Appelt et al. to include the means of automatically updating a grammar as taught by Weber in order to automatically update grammar for recognizing spoken question from user. This way, the interactive voice response system can adaptively learn the meaning of addition utterances, thereby enhancing the efficiency of the user interface (Weber, col.4, II.3-8).
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:
42	An interactive voice response system as recited in claim 41, further comprising:
	means for storing at least part (e.g., index with list of terms or words, col.1, II.52-64;
	col.2, II.30-34, II.60-67) of the news reports (Fig.7: 502 ; Fig.8); and
	{The indices are stored in database 109. (col.5, II.34-37)}

Art Unit: 2655

means for outputting at least one of the news reports (Fig.7: **502**; Fig.8) when the spoken question (e.g., "Tell me about joint ventures involving SBC in the Communication Services Sector", col.11, II.53-55) contains at least one of the keywords (e.g., 'joint ventures' and 'SBC' in the summary **504**, col.11, II.58-65) extracted therefrom.

{The keywords are extracted by information extraction engine 108. (col.5, Il.21-24, Il.38-41)}

Claim(s) 43

Appelt et al. show:

An interactive voice response system as recited in claim 39, wherein said update means includes means for automatically obtaining grammar words (topic-specific information, e.g., 'joint venture', 'SBC', col.7, II.49-50) to be added to the grammar (e.g., grammar template files **310** which are located in database **109**: col.7, II.46-48; col.10, II.6-11) from at least one message (Fig.7: **502**; Fig.8) for a user.

- {1. The topic and keywords are automatically extracted by the automation **300**. (col.7, II.53-57; col.8,II.1-20; col.10, II.6-16)
- 2. The news article (Fig.7: **502**; Fig.8) is a message which can be represented in text format (col.5, II.14-15) or in sound stream (col.5, II.15-17; col.14, II.9-12)}

Claim(s)

44

Appelt et al. show:

An interactive voice response system as recited in claim 43, wherein said update means further includes:

means comparing message words (topic & keywords) in the at least one message (Fig.7: **502**; Fig.8) with information in a global information database (e.g., searching the database **109** by information extraction and query engine **104**) to determine for each message word (e.g., 'joint venture', 'SBC') whether there are any corresponding questions (e.g., "Tell me about joint ventures involving SBC in the Communication Service Sector" or "Did Barnes & Nobel acquire anyone this year?", col.6, II.48-51) that can be answered by information in the global information database (database **109**); (col.6, II.43-55) and

means for updating the grammar (grammar rules or files) with the corresponding questions and related message words. (col.7, II.33-52)

Art Unit: 2655

Appelt et al. do not show:

means for automatically updating the grammar.

However, Weber teaches:

means for automatically updating a grammar. (col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the recognition and updating means of Appelt et al. to include the means of automatically updating a grammar as taught by Weber in order to automatically update grammar for recognizing spoken question from user. This way, the interactive voice response system can adaptively learn the meaning of addition utterances, thereby enhancing the efficiency of the user interface (Weber, col.4, II.3-8).

Claim(s)

Appelt et al. show:

47

An information services system (Fig.12), comprising:

at least one storage unit (RAM 620, ROM 622) to store a grammar and information (grammar template files 310, col.10, II.6-16) for response to a question by a user (user query); {Grammar files 310 are located in database 109 (RAM 620, ROM 622).}

at least one processor (610), coupled to said storage unit (RAM 620, ROM 622), programmed to update (col.7, II.33-52) the grammar and the information from sources of information (free-text document 103 or multimedia source 550) external to the information services system, and to recognize a question spoken by the user.

{The free-text document 103 can be located on a remote web server external to the system 100. (col.5, II.9-14, II.21-23; col.6, II.27-31; col.1, II.28-31)}

Appelt et al. do not show:

at least one processor, coupled to said storage unit, programmed to automatically update the grammar and the information.

Art Unit: 2655

However, Weber teaches:

at least one processor (Fig.1: **102**), coupled to said storage unit (Fig.1: **108**), programmed to automatically update (col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67) the grammar and the information.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the processing capability of the system of Appelt et al. to include the processing capability of Weber in order to provide a information service system that can automatically update grammar for recognizing spoken question from user. This way, the information service system can adaptively learn the meaning of addition utterances, thereby enhancing the efficiency of the user interface (Weber, col.4, II.3-8).

3. Claims 20-22, 36-38, and 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Appelt et al. (U.S. Patent 6,601,026 B2) in view of Weber (U.S. Patent 6,434,524 B1), and further in view of Uppaluru (U.S. Patent 5,915,001).

Claim(s)

The modified Appelt et al. show:

20

A method as recited in claim 18,

wherein said method further comprises adding information to the grammar. (Weber, col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67)

The modified Appelt et al. do not show:

the message is an e-mail message, and

the source of information is from an address book entry for the sender of the e-mail.

However, Uppaluru teaches:

Art Unit: 2655

Using e-mail message as a source of information retrieved for responding to user queries. (col.9, II.38-50; col.29-49)

Using address book entry as a source of information. (col.9, II.50, col.11, II.45-50)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of the modified Appelt et al. to include the method of method of using email and address book entry as sources of information as taught by Uppaluru in order to adding information to the grammar based on information from e-mail message and address book entry. E-mail and address book are integral part of an email service and is widely used over the internet.

Claim(s) 21

The modified Appelt et al. show:

A method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

automatically updating the grammar (Weber, col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67) based on text information (Appelt et al., Fig.1: **103**) or multimedia information (Appelt et al., Fig.11: **550**) as the source of information stored for a user asking the spoken question (user query).

The modified Appelt et al. do not show:

the source of information is calendar information.

However, Uppaluru teaches:

Using calendar information as a source of information. (col.9, II.50; col.11, II.36-44)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of the modified Appelt et al. to include the method of using calendar information as sources of information as taught by Uppaluru in order to update grammar based on calendar information. Calendar information is integral part of an email service or a web-based service. It is widely used and available over the internet.

Claim(s)

The modified Appelt et al. show:

22	
	A method as recited in claim 21, wherein said updating includes adding information to
-	the grammar. (Weber, col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67)
	The modified Appelt et al. do not show:
	recognizing for questions about locations found in the calendar information.
	However, Uppaluru teaches:
	recognizing for questions about locations (e.g., date and time information for
	appointment service) found in the calendar information. (col.11, II.36-44)
1	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the
4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	invention was made to modify the method of the modified Appelt et al. to include the teaching
	of Uppaluru in order to recognize questions about locations found in the calendar information
	during the grammar updating process. This would help automate the grammar updating
***************************************	process if the location information can be extracted from the calendar information.
Claim(s)	The modified Appelt et al. show:
36	
	A computer readable medium as recited in claim 34,
	7 Computer readable medium as recited in claim 64,
	wherein said method further comprises adding information to the grammar. (Weber,
	col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67)
	Solid, II4 1, 661.12, II.66 67)
	The modified Appelt et al. do not show:
	The modified Appell et al. do not show.
	the manage is an a mail massage and
	the message is an e-mail message, and
	the course of information is from an address heat, anter for the condex of the annual
	the source of information is from an address book entry for the sender of the e-mail.
	Have van Handlom tande o
	However, Uppaluru teaches:
	Using e-mail message as a source of information retrieved for responding to user

Art Unit: 2655

queries. (col.9, II.38-50; col.29-49)

Using address book entry as a source of information. (col.9, II.50, col.11, II.45-50)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of the modified Appelt et al. to include the method of method of using email and address book entry as sources of information as taught by Uppaluru in order to adding information to the grammar based on information from e-mail message and address book entry. E-mail and address book are integral part of an email service and is widely used over the internet.

Claim(s)

37

The modified Appelt et al. show:

A computer readable medium as recited in claim 31, further comprising:

automatically updating the grammar (Weber, col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67) based on text information (Appelt et al., Fig.1: **103**) or multimedia information (Appelt et al., Fig.11: **550**) as the source of information stored for a user asking the spoken question (user query).

The modified Appelt et al. do not show:

the source of information is calendar information.

However, Uppaluru teaches:

Using calendar information as a source of information. (col.9, II.50; col.11, II.36-44)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of the modified Appelt et al. to include the method of using calendar information as sources of information as taught by Uppaluru in order to update grammar based on calendar information. Calendar information is integral part of an email service or a web-based service. It is widely used and available over the internet.

Claim(s)

The modified Appelt et al. show:

38

Art Unit: 2655

A computer readable medium as recited in claim 37, wherein said updating includes adding information to the grammar. (Weber, col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67)

The modified Appelt et al. do not show:

recognizing for questions about locations found in the calendar information.

However, Uppaluru teaches:

recognizing for questions about locations (e.g., date and time information for appointment service) found in the calendar information. (col.11, II.36-44)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of the modified Appelt et al. to include the teaching of Uppaluru in order to recognize questions about locations found in the calendar information during the grammar updating process. This would help automate the grammar updating process if the location information can be extracted from the calendar information.

Claim(s) 45

The modified Appelt et al. show:

An interactive voice response system as recited in claim 39, wherein said update means automatically updates the grammar (Weber, col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67) based on text information (Appelt et al., Fig.1: **103**) or multimedia information (Appelt et al., Fig.11: **550**) as the source of information stored for a user asking the spoken question (user query).

The modified Appelt et al. do not show:

the source of information is calendar information.

However, Uppaluru teaches:

Using calendar information as a source of information. (col.9, II.50; col.11, II.36-44)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the update means of the modified Appelt et al. to include the

	means of using calendar information as sources of information as taught by Uppaluru in order					
	to update grammar based on calendar information. Calendar information is integral part of an					
	email service or a web-based service. It is widely used and available over the internet.					
Claim(s)	Appelt et al. show:					
46						
	An interactive voice response system as recited in claim 45, wherein said update					
	means adds information to the grammar. (Weber, col.3, II.4-7; col.12, II.53-67)					
	The modified Appelt et al. do not show:					
	recognition means for recognizing questions about locations found in the calendar information.					
	However, Uppaluru teaches:					
	recognition means for recognizing questions about locations (e.g., date and time					
	information for appointment service) found in the calendar information. (col.11, II.36-44)					
	It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the					
	invention was made to modify the means of the modified Appelt et al. to include the teaching					
	of Uppaluru in order to recognize questions about locations found in the calendar information					
	during the grammar updating process. This would help automate the grammar updating					
	process if the location information can be extracted from the calendar information.					

4. Cla	aim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Appelt et al. (U.S. Patent				
6,601,026 B2) in view of Weber (U.S. Patent 6,434,524 B1) and Uppaluru (U.S. Patent 5,915,001), and					
further in view of Jones (U.S. Patent 5,524,139).					
Claim(s)	The modified Appelt et al. do not show:				
48					
	An information services system as recited in claim 47, further comprising:				

Art Unit: 2655

a master control unit to control operation of said information services system, and

a plurality of application processing units, coupled to said master control unit, each including

at least one processor unit providing said processor,

one of said at least one storage unit, coupled to said at least one processor unit, and

at least one telephone interface unit coupled to said at least one processor unit.

Jones teaches;

a master control unit to control (MCU 38) operation of said information services system (Fig.1), and

a plurality of application processing units (APU 44₁, APU 44₂) coupled to said master control unit (MCU 38), each including

at least one processor unit (CPU 58) providing said processor,

one of said at least one storage unit (memory **60**), coupled to said at least one processor unit, and

at least one telephone interface unit (T1 Interface **76**) coupled to said at least one processor unit.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system architecture of the modified Appelt et al. to include the system architecture of Jones in order to provide a system that enables the process of accessing an information service to be automated.

Art Unit: 2655

Allowable Subject Matter

aims 13, 17, 30, and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but					
llowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and					
ning claims.					
6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:					
The prior art fails to show:					
A method as recited in claim 12,					
wherein said determining is performed by outputting an audio signal containing a list					
of available news report providers; and					
receiving selection of the at least one news report providers by the user.					
The prior art fails to show:					
A method as recited in claim 15, further comprising:					
Attributed as recited in claim 15, further comprising.					
comparing message words in the at least one message with information in a synonym					
database to determine synonyms for the message words; and					
adding the synonyms to the grammar.					
The prior art fails to show:					
A computer readable medium as recited in claim 29, wherein said determining is					
performed by:					
outputting an audio signal containing a list of available news report providers; and					
receiving selection of the at least one news report providers by the user.					
The prior art fails to show:					

Page 25

Application/Control Number: 09/749,615

Art Unit: 2655

33	A computer readable medium as recited in claim 31, wherein said method further comprises:
	comparing message words in the at least one message with information in a synonym database to determine synonyms for the message words; and
	adding the synonyms to the grammar.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent Documents:

[1] 2002/0006126 A1	01/2002	Johnson et al.
[2] 6,078,886	06/2000	Dragosh et al.
[3] 6,418,440 B1	07/2002	Kuo et al.
[4] 2001/0054085 A1	12/2001	Kurganov
[5] 6,584,464 B1	06/2003	Warthen

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Lao whose telephone number is 703-305-8955.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on 703-305-4827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2655

Page 26

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tim Lao Examiner Art Unit 2655

TL 03/05/04

RICHEMOND DORVIL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER